

Charm spectroscopy beyond the constituent quark model

J. Segovia, D.R. Entem, F. Fernández

*Grupo de Física Nuclear Instituto de Física Fundamental y Matemáticas,
Universidad de Salamanca, 37008 Salamanca, Spain*

We study the nature of the new charm and charmonium like states observed in the last few years, using a constituent quark model with an screened confinement potential. In the open charm sector we discuss different options for the $D_{sJ}(2860)$ including tetraquark configurations. In the hidden charm sector the new $Y(4360)$ and $Y(4660)$ are interpreted as 1^{--} states. The influence in the puzzling 3940 MeV region of multiquark configuration is discussed.

In the last few years the B factories (BaBar and Belle) and other machines have reported a large number of new states with open and hidden charm: the puzzling D_{sJ}^* states ($D_{sJ}^*(2317)$, $D_{sJ}(2460)$, $D_{sJ}(2860)$) and the XYZ ones ($X(3872)$, $X(3940)$, $Y(3940)$, $Z(3930)$, $X(4160)$, $Y(4260)$, $Y(4360)$, $Y(4660)$ among others).

Some of them can be understood as $c\bar{s}$, or $c\bar{c}$ states while other shows unexpected properties being their energies and decay widths quite different from those expected from quark potential models.

In this work we address this problem for the charm sector spectroscopy starting from a constituent quark model which has been able to describe the meson spectra from the light to the heavy sector [1]. The model is based on the assumption that the light quark constituent mass appears as a consequence of the spontaneous breaking of the original QCD $SU(3)_L \otimes SU(3)_R$ chiral symmetry at some momentum scale, which is the most important nonperturbative phenomenon for hadron structure at low energies. In this domain of momenta, light quarks are quasiparticles with a constituent mass interacting through scalar and pseudoscalar boson-exchange potentials. In the heavy quark sector chiral symmetry is explicitly broken and this type of interaction does not act, however it constrains the model parameters through the light meson phenomenology. Beyond the chiral symmetry breaking scale one expects the dynamics being governed by QCD perturbative effects. They are taken into account through the one-gluon-exchange potential. An important characteristic of the model is the use of a confining interaction taken from lattice simulations as a linear potential screened at large distances due to quark-pair creation. This form of the potential is important to explain the huge degeneracy observed in the high excited light meson spectrum [2] and turns out to be also important for the correct ordering of charmonium states. Explicit expressions for the qq and $q\bar{q}$ potentials are given in [1].

Physical mesons are easily identified with $q\bar{q}$ states when loops are not important. This is the case of the pseudoscalar and vector mesons due to the P-wave nature of the loop dressing. On the contrary, in P-wave state the contributing quark loops are in an S-wave and the coupling between $q\bar{q}$ pairs and $qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$ structures may be relevant. Thus, the inclusion of quarks loops (or tetraquarks) may influence 0^+ and 1^+ meson states like the $D_0^*(2308)$ and $D_{sJ}(2460)$. All negative parity and 2^+ states should, in principle, be understood in terms only of $q\bar{q}$ components.

The 1S and 1P excitations of the strange open-charm sector has been already discussed in Ref. [3]. We enlarge this study focusing on the different options for the $D_{sJ}(2860)$ by studying its strong decays.

Concerning the hidden charm sector the screened confinement potential allow us to identify most of the 1^{--} states with definite $q\bar{q}$ pairs and its decay properties are established. We are able to identify some of the states in the 3940 MeV puzzling region although for a complete description of all the states one needs to go beyond the $q\bar{q}$ model.

I. THE $D_{sJ}(2860)$ RESONANCE

In 2006 the Babar collaboration reported a new state $D_{sJ}(2860)$ with a mass 2856.6 ± 5.0 MeV and a width $\Gamma = 48 \pm 7 \pm 10$ MeV. This state is observed in the DK channel but no structure appears in the D^*K invariant mass distribution in the same energy range. Thus we have $J^P = 0^+, 1^-, 2^+, 3^-, \dots$ as possible quantum numbers. This state adds to the charm resonances reported during the last years like the $D_{sJ}(2317)$ and $D_{sJ}(2460)$ with masses typically

TABLE I: Probabilities (P), in %, of the wave function components and masses (QM), in MeV, of the open-charm mesons once the mixing between $q\bar{q}$ and $qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$ configurations is considered [3]. Experimental data (Exp.) are taken from Ref. [4].

$I = 0 \quad J^P = 0^+$			$I = 0 \quad J^P = 1^+$		
QM	2339	2847	QM	2421	2555
Exp.	2317.4±0.9	—	Exp.	2459.3±1.3	2535.3±0.6
$P(c\bar{n}\bar{s}\bar{n})$	28	55	$P(c\bar{n}\bar{s}\bar{n})$	25	~ 1
$P(c\bar{s}_{1^3P})$	71	25	$P(c\bar{s}_{1^1P})$	74	~ 1
$P(c\bar{s}_{2^3P})$	~ 1	20	$P(c\bar{s}_{1^3P})$	~ 1	98

TABLE II: Predicted mass in MeV and strong width in MeV for the different $c\bar{s}$ states

$nL \ J^P$	Mass	DK	$D_s\eta$	D^*K	$D_s^*\eta$	DK^*
$2P \ 0^+$	2966	49.7	0.52	0.0	0.0	0.0
$1D \ 1^-$	2873	50.1	1.2	36.9	0.8	35.8
$1D \ 2^-$	2883	0.0	0.0	82.79	1.39	132.0
$1D \ 3^-$	2882	34.7	0.3	23.1	0.21	2.2

100 MeV lower than the quark model prediction. In an attempt to explain these later states, the authors of Ref. [3] included in the description of the open charm mesons tetraquark configurations. In constituent quark models the more general wave function of a zero baryon number ($B=0$) hadron may be written as $|B=0\rangle = \Omega_1 |q\bar{q}\rangle + \Omega_2 |qq\bar{q}\bar{q}\rangle + \dots$. To take into account simultaneously two- and four-quark states the quark model hamiltonian must be supplemented by a new term H_1 , describing the mixing between $q\bar{q}$ and $qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$ configurations. In Ref. [3] this hamiltonian is parametrized as $V_{q\bar{q} \leftrightarrow qq\bar{q}\bar{q}} = \gamma$ being γ a constant.

When this parameter γ is fixed to reproduce the mass of the $D_{sJ}^*(2317)$ meson they obtained the results shown in Table I. From these results one can appreciate that the description of the positive parity open-charm mesons improves, in particular the $D_{sJ}(2460)$, when four-quark components are considered.

We have studied the spectrum and the decay properties of the open charm mesons in the 2800 MeV mass region. We found three states with masses 2873, 2883 and 2882 MeV (table II) close to the experimental mass which correspond with the $1^-, 2^-$ and 3^- $c\bar{s} D q\bar{q}$ waves. These has to be added to the 2847 MeV 0^+ $c\bar{s} + cn\bar{s}\bar{n}$ (45% and 55% probability respectively) excitation obtained in Ref. [3](table II).

To elucidate the nature of the $D_{sJ}(2860)$ we have calculated the strong decay widths of the two quarks states using the 3P_0 model. All of them have significant probability to decay through the D^*K channel except the states $J^P = 0^+$. As the D^*K decay is not observed in the experimental data, the only remaining possibility for the $D_{sJ}(2860)$ state is the 0^+ $c\bar{s} + cn\bar{s}\bar{n}$ state predicted in Ref [3]. Therefore one has to conclude that the puzzling situation of the D_{sJ} meson states can be solved going beyond the $q\bar{q}$ constituent quark model.

II. THE 1^{--} FAMILY

All the states of this family of charmonium states can be clearly identify with a $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$ value because they are produced via e^+e^- annihilation. Until the year 2005 five states appears as well established in the PDG [4], namely the J/ψ , $\psi(2S)$, $\psi(3770)$, $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$ and $\psi(4415)$. In that year a new state was reported by BaBar [5], the $Y(4260)$ decaying to $J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$ soon confirmed by CLEO. Two years later while investigating whether the $Y(4260)$ decayed to $\psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$ BaBar discovered a new 1^{--} state: the $Y(4360)$ [6]. Recently Belle has confirmed all these states and found two more. The first one $Y(4660)$ [7] was not clearly visible in the BaBar data due to the limited statistic. The second appears when confirming the $Y(4260)$ [8]. The Belle experiment indicates that a fit using two interfering Breit-Wigner shapes describes the data better than using only the $Y(4260)$. The new structure appears at

TABLE III: Predicted mass in MeV of the $J^P = 1^-$ charmonium states different

(nL)	States	QM	Exp.
(1S)	J/ψ	3096	3096.916 ± 0.011
(2S)	$\psi(2S)$	3703	3686.09 ± 0.04
(1D)	$\psi(3770)$	3796	3772 ± 1.1
	$Y(4008)$	—	4008 ± 40
(3S)	$\psi(4040)$	4097	4039 ± 1
(2D)	$\psi(4160)$	4153	4153 ± 3
	$Y(4260)$	—	4260 ± 10
(4S)	$\psi(4360)$	4389	4361 ± 9
(3D)	$\psi(4415)$	4426	4421 ± 4
(5S)	$\psi(4660)$	4641	4664 ± 1
(4D)	$\psi(4660)$	4641	4664 ± 1

TABLE IV: Strong and leptonic widths in keV of the $J^P = 1^-$ charmonium states

Meson	Dominant Mode	Γ_{the} (MeV)	Γ_{exp} (MeV)	$\Gamma_{the}^{e^+e^-}$	$\Gamma_{exp}^{e^+e^-}$
$\psi(3770)$	DD	22.2156	26.3 ± 1.9	0.22	0.22 ± 0.05
$\psi(4040)$	D^*D^*	92.9073	80 ± 10	1.11	0.83 ± 0.20
$\psi(4160)$	D^*D^*	96.8348	103 ± 8	0.30	0.48 ± 0.22
$\psi(4360)$	DD_1	89.8044	103 ± 11	0.78	—
$\psi(4415)$	DD_1	133.1207	119 ± 16	0.33	0.35 ± 0.12
$\psi(4660)$	D^*D^*	107.8655	42 ± 6	0.31	—

$M = 4008 \pm 40_{-28}^{+114}$ MeV with a width $\Gamma = 226 \pm 44 \pm 87$ MeV. Very recently a combined fit of the BaBar and Belle [9] data gives the best measurement of the $Y(4360)$ and $Y(4660)$ states. With respect to this last state a new peak with a significance of 8.8σ has been observed [10] in the $\Lambda_c^+ \Lambda_c^-$ invariant mass distribution of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \Lambda_c^-$ process with a mass and width of $M = 4634 \pm 8 \pm 5$ MeV and $\Gamma = 92 \pm 40 \pm 21$ MeV suggesting a new 1^{--} state in this energy region.

In table III we compare the spectrum calculated with our model with the experimental data. As one can see the agreement with the experimental data is remarkable except for two states: the $Y(4008)$ and the $Y(4260)$ which does not fit in this scheme. In particular the $Y(4360)$ is identified with the 4^3S_1 charmonium excitation while we have two possible assignment for the $Y(4660)$ either 5^3S_1 or 4^3D_1 . The other state may be the one identified in the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \Lambda_c^-$ process.

We have also studied the leptonic decay width and the total strong decay width. They are show in table IV and all of them are in agreement with the experimental data. It is generally assumed that to reproduce the leptonic widths it is necessary a sizeable mixture of 3S_1 and 3D_1 sates. In our model the mixing is not fitted but driven by the quark-quark interaction and is very small. Nevertheless we are able to reproduces the leptonic width because the flattening of our confinement potential.

III. THE 3940 FAMILY

Several states has been observed by different collaborations around the energy of 3940 MeV (see [11] for a review). In table V we show the results obtained with our model in this region. The $Z(3930)$ was reported by Belle in

TABLE V: Predicted mass in MeV for the different $c\bar{s}$ states in the 3940 MeV region

meson	J_{PC}	mass(MeV)
η_{c2}	$(1S)2^{-+}$	3811
χ_{c0}	$(2P)0^{++}$	3908
χ_{c1}	$(2P)1^{++}$	3947
h_c	$(2P)1^{+-}$	3955
χ_{c2}	$(2P)2^{++}$	3968
η_c	$(3S)0^{-+}$	4054
η_{c2}	$(1D)2^{-+}$	4166

$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow D\bar{D}$ with a mass and width $M = 3929 \pm 5 \pm 2$ MeV and $\Gamma = 29.9 \pm 10 \pm 2$ MeV [12]. The two photon width is measured to be $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} B(Z(3930) \rightarrow D\bar{D}) = 0.18 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.03$ keV. Moreover the $D\bar{D}$ angular distribution is consistent with $J = 2$. The $\chi_{c2}(2^{++})$ state is a good candidate for the Z(3930). We obtained a mass of 3968 MeV and the total width $\Gamma = 49.1$ MeV is comparable with the experimental data. Finally the experimental two photon width compare nicely with our result $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} B(Z(3930) \rightarrow D\bar{D}) = 0.15$ keV.

We can clearly identify a second state $\eta_{c2}(2^{-+})$ with mass $M = 4166$ MeV and width $\Gamma = 122.9$ MeV with the resonance recently reported by Belle at $M = 4156_{-20}^{+25} \pm 15$ MeV with a width $\Gamma = 139_{-61}^{+111} \pm 21$ MeV [13] in the $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi D^* \bar{D}^*$. The decay of η_{c2} to $D\bar{D}$ is forbidden being the $X(4160) \rightarrow D^* \bar{D}^*$ the most favored decay channel as showed by the data.

The situation is more complicated for the rest of states. One can attempt to identify the state $\chi_{c1}(2P)1^{++}$ at $M=3947$ which decay into DD^* with the $X(3940)$ which has been observed in the $X(3940) \rightarrow DD^*$ decay but there is no evidence of such state in the $D\bar{D}$ channel. However the existence of the X(3872) and the Y(3940) which cannot be described as simply $c\bar{c}$ states suggest that, as in the case of the D_{sJ} mesons, the states with $J^P = 0^+$ or 1^+ may be mixed with tetraquark structures. A recent calculation [14] with the same quark-quark interaction we use, shows that the DD^* channel shows a very sharp resonance slightly below the $D^0 \bar{D}^{*0}$ threshold although the result seems to be rather sensitive to details of the quark-quark interaction.

IV. SUMMARY

We have studied the properties of the new open and hidden charm mesons using a constituent quark model constrained by the light meson spectra. An important characteristic of the model is the use of an screened confinement potential which improve the description of the high excited meson states. We confirm that the $D_{sJ}(2860)$ resonance has an important tetraquark component. Besides the well established J/ψ and ψ states, the $\psi(4360)$ and the $\psi(4660)$ can be considered as 1^{--} charmonium states. However the Y(4008) and the Y(4260) states can not be accommodated in the spectrum and probably its structure involves more complex configurations than a simple $c\bar{c}$ pair. In the 3940 MeV mass region we identify the Z(3930) as the $\chi_{c2}2^3P_2(c\bar{c})$ state and the X(4160) as the $\eta_{c2}1^3D_2(c\bar{c})$ state. The properties of the rest of the states of this region are difficult to explain in a $q\bar{q}$ scheme.

Acknowledgments

This work has been partially funded by Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología under Contract No. FPA2007-65748, and by Junta de Castilla y León under Contract No. SA016A07.

- [1] J. Vijande, F. Fernández, and A. Valcarce, J. Phys G **31**, 481 (2005)
- [2] J. Segovia, D. R. Entem, and F. Fernandez, Phys. Lett. B **662**, 33 (2008)
- [3] J. Vijande, F. Fernández, and A. Valcarce, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 034002 (2006)
- [4] W. M. Yao *et al.* J. Phys. G **411**, 3 (2006)
- [5] BaBar Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 142001 (2005)
- [6] BaBar Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 212001 (2005)
- [7] Belle Collaboration, X. L. Wang *et al.*, arXiv:0707.3699 [hep-ex]
- [8] Belle Collaboration, C. Z. Yuan *et al.*, arXiv:0707.2541 [hep-ex]
- [9] Z. Q. Liu, X.S. Qin and C. Z. Yuan arXiv:0805.3560 [hep-ex]
- [10] G. Pakhlova *et al.* arXiv:0807.4458 [hep-ex]
- [11] S. Godfrey, and S. L. Olsen, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **58** to be published arXiv:0801.3867 [hep-ph]
- [12] S. Uehara *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 082003 (2006)
- [13] I. Adachi *et al.* arXiv:0708.3812 [hep-ex]
- [14] E. Hiyama, H. Suganuma, M. Kamimura arXiv:0707.4044 [hep-ph]